# Assessing the Impact of Farmer-to-Farmer Communication Networks on Knowledge Sharing and Adoption of Sustainable Agricultural Practices in Africa

**Adamaagashi Izuchukwu**
Graduate of Enugu State University of Science and Technology.
Corresponding author: adamaizuchukwu@gmail.com

**[2]Elona Erezi**
Graduate of Delta State University, Abraka, Delta State.
Email: eerezi@outlook.com

**[3]David Emeka, E.**
Graduate of Obong University.
Email: ezeonyekwere011@gmail.com

D.O.I: 10.56201/ijaes.v9.no4.2023.pg58.76

***Abstract***

*This study explores the role of farmer-to-farmer communication networks in promoting knowledge sharing and sustainable agricultural practices in sub-Saharan Africa. Smallholder farmers in the region face challenges in achieving sustainable agricultural development due to limited access to information, resources, and markets. The study reviews research, reports, and publications on communication networks among farmers in Africa and highlights their importance in improving farming techniques. Various networks like informal networks, extension services, mobile-based platforms, and farmer cooperatives are evaluated for their strengths, weaknesses, and usage levels. However, limited access to technology, insufficient funding, and lack of institutional support hinder the effectiveness of these networks. The study recommends addressing these challenges by improving technology access, increasing funding, and strengthening institutional support. The findings highlight the significance of farmer-to-farmer communication networks in bridging the knowledge gap and improving agricultural productivity. Continued research and collaboration are crucial for the sustainability and success of these networks in promoting sustainable practices in sub-Saharan Africa.*

***Keywords***: *Smallholder farmers, Sustainable agricultural practices, Farmer-to-farmer communication networks, Knowledge sharing, Africa*

## Introduction

In sub-Saharan Africa, smallholder farmers face numerous challenges in achieving sustainable agricultural development due to limited access to information, resources, and markets. The adoption of sustainable agricultural practices is crucial for improving agricultural productivity, reducing environmental degradation, and enhancing food security. However, the dissemination and adoption of these practices often face significant barriers due to a lack of knowledge and information exchange among farmers. In this context, farmer-to-farmer communication networks have emerged as a potential solution to bridge the knowledge gap and promote the adoption of sustainable agricultural practices in Africa. Farmer-to-farmer communication networks involve the exchange of information, experiences, and best practices among farmers through various mechanisms such as farmer groups, farmer field schools, radio programs, and mobile phone platforms. These networks rely on the idea that farmers learn most effectively from their peers who face similar challenges and possess local knowledge and context-specific strategies for dealing with them. By leveraging the power of social interactions, these networks have the potential to enhance knowledge sharing, enable learning, and facilitate the adoption of sustainable agricultural practices.

According to the United Nations (UN), the population of sub-Saharan Africa is expected to double by 2050. To achieve development goals and improve food security in this region, it is widely agreed that smallholder farmers need to increase their productivity. Transitioning to sustainable agricultural systems in Africa would not only enhance food security but also preserve natural resources, as stated by the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), Adegbeye et al. (2019), and Mwalupaso et al. (2019). On-farm sustainable agricultural technologies have been promoted as an integrated approach that can boost yields, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and improve carbon storage in soils and biomass. They are considered climate-smart options and can contribute to the livelihoods, long-term agricultural development, and welfare improvement of households, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa, as highlighted by various studies (Branca et al., 2013; Pretty et al., 2011; McCarthy et al., 2012; Li et al., 2019; Branca and Perelli, 2020; Asfaw and Branca, 2018; Liao and Brown, 2018).

However, despite efforts to promote sustainable agricultural systems in Africa, smallholder farmers in sub-Saharan Africa have been slow to adopt these technological practices (Kassie et al., 2015). This could be attributed to various factors. Firstly, the definition of adoption used in the literature may influence the reported results, as it does not account for situations where technologies are only partially implemented and then abandoned. Secondly, standardized technology solutions may not cater to the diverse needs and livelihood strategies of individual farm households, which differ in terms of assets, production systems, socioeconomic contexts, and behaviors. Thirdly, intrinsic barriers to adoption exist, as sustainable agricultural systems are more complex, labor-intensive, and knowledge-intensive than conventional systems. They require enhanced management capacity, which may pose challenges for farmers. Access to comprehensive information about agricultural technology implementation and knowledge transmission mechanisms is crucial, but smallholders often struggle to obtain this information and lack the necessary education. Government extension programs, which aim to encourage adoption, often fail due to inadequate funding, inappropriate design, and ineffective targeting of incentives. Additionally, the reduction in public extension services has led to an increase in

private sector dissemination of technologies and practices, which may limit smallholders' access due to financial constraints. The adoption of agricultural technology practices is also influenced by factors such as secure access to local natural resources like land and water, stable input and output markets, and the availability of infrastructure and agrarian capital.

Research conducted in other parts of the world provides valuable insights into the positive impact of farmer-to-farmer communication networks on sustainable agriculture. For instance, a study conducted by Berdegue and Escobar (2002) in Latin America highlighted the significant influence of these networks on farmers' knowledge-sharing behaviors and agricultural innovation. The researchers found that farmers who actively participated in farmer-to-farmer communication networks were more likely to adopt sustainable agricultural practices compared to those who lacked access to such networks. This suggests that these communication networks play a crucial role in disseminating information, fostering learning, and facilitating the adoption of sustainable practices. Similarly, Rodriguez et al. (2009) conducted a study that examined the effectiveness of farmer-to-farmer communication networks in disseminating information on sustainable practices and promoting their adoption in rural communities. Their findings further supported the positive impact of these networks. They found that farmer-to-farmer communication networks significantly improved the knowledge and awareness of farmers regarding sustainable agricultural practices. This increased knowledge, in turn, led to a higher level of adoption of these practices among farmers. The study concluded that farmer-to-farmer communication networks are an effective means of information dissemination and can enable the adoption of sustainable agricultural practices.

These findings emphasize the importance of understanding and studying the potential impact of farmer-to-farmer communication networks in the African context. Africa faces unique challenges in achieving sustainable agricultural development, including limited access to information, resources, and markets. Therefore, exploring the role of farmer-to-farmer communication networks in promoting knowledge sharing and adoption of sustainable agricultural practices in Africa is crucial. However, specific research on the impact of farmer-to-farmer communication networks in Africa is limited, and there is a need to assess their effectiveness and potential role in promoting the adoption of sustainable agricultural practices. This study aims to address this gap by examining the impact of farmer-to-farmer communication networks on knowledge sharing and adoption of sustainable agricultural practices in Africa. By evaluating the effectiveness of these networks, policymakers and development practitioners can identify strategies to enhance their role in sustainable agricultural development and food security efforts in the region.

## Methodology

A comprehensive examination of various research studies, reports, and publications related to the influence of communication networks among farmers on the sharing of knowledge and the adoption of sustainable agricultural practices in Africa was done in this study. In order to analyze and merge the gathered data, a synthetic analysis technique was utilized.

## Farmer-to-Farmer Communication Networks in Africa

In recent years, there has been growing recognition of the importance of Farmer-to-Farmer Communication Networks in Africa as a means to promote knowledge sharing and the adoption

of sustainable agricultural practices. These networks facilitate the exchange of information, experiences, and best practices among farmers, enabling them to enhance their productivity, improve their livelihoods, and address the challenges they face in the agricultural sector. In their study, Nyasimi, Klerkx, and Leeuwis (2019) aimed to examine the functioning and impact of Farmer-to-Farmer Communication Networks (FFCNs) on knowledge transfer and adoption of sustainable agricultural practices in Kenya and Ghana. The researchers recognized the importance of FFCNs in facilitating knowledge exchange among farmers and promoting the adoption of sustainable practices, particularly in resource-constrained agricultural contexts.

To conduct their research, Nyasimi et al. (2019) employed a mixed-methods approach, which allowed them to gather both quantitative and qualitative data. Initially, they conducted surveys among farmers to collect quantitative data on the characteristics and functioning of FFCNs, as well as the knowledge transfer and adoption of sustainable practices. This helped the researchers to derive statistical insights and identify patterns from a large sample of respondents. Moreover, the researchers complemented the quantitative survey data with qualitative interviews and observations. By conducting in-depth interviews with key stakeholders such as farmers, network coordinators, and agricultural extension agents, the researchers were able to gain a deeper understanding of the dynamics and processes operating within the FFCNs. Additionally, they observed FFCN meetings and interactions among farmers to further enrich their data.

Through their analysis, Nyasimi et al. (2019) found that FFCNs played a vital role in knowledge transfer and adoption of sustainable agricultural practices in both Kenya and Ghana. These networks facilitated the exchange of information, experiences, and innovations among farmers. The results also indicated that FFCNs positively influenced farmers' adoption of sustainable practices, as members who were actively engaged in the networks were more likely to adopt these practices. The researchers concluded that FFCNs have the potential to enhance agricultural knowledge sharing and promote sustainable agricultural practices. They emphasized the importance of targeted interventions and support to strengthen FFCNs and maximize their impact on farmers. Moreover, they highlighted the need for further research and policy attention to effectively harness the potential of FFCNs as a mechanism for agricultural development in Africa.

Nyasimi et al. (2019) found that farmer networks play a vital role in disseminating information and knowledge about sustainable agricultural practices. Through these networks, farmers have access to a range of resources such as agricultural technologies, market information, and extension services. The authors emphasized that these networks not only facilitate the flow of information from experts to farmers but also enable peer-to-peer learning and sharing of local knowledge, which is crucial for successful adoption of sustainable practices. The study also highlighted some of the challenges faced by Farmer-to-Farmer Communication Networks in Africa. These include limited access to information and communication technologies, lack of financial resources to support network activities, and the need for stronger institutional support. Addressing these challenges can help to strengthen the effectiveness of Farmer-to-Farmer Communication Networks in Africa and ensure their sustainability and scalability.

Research conducted in other parts of the world has shown the positive impact of farmer-to-farmer communication networks on sustainable agriculture. Berdegue and Escobar (2002) conducted a study in Latin America, which demonstrated that these networks play a significant

role in influencing farmers' knowledge-sharing behaviors and promoting agricultural innovation. The researchers found that farmers who were part of communication networks were more likely to adopt new practices, implement sustainable techniques, and achieve higher productivity levels. Similarly, Rodriguez et al. (2009) conducted research on farmer-to-farmer communication networks in a rural setting. The study focused on the dissemination of information related to sustainable practices and their adoption. The findings revealed that these communication networks were effective in sharing information on sustainable practices among farmers and played a crucial role in facilitating their successful adoption. Farmers who had access to these networks demonstrated higher rates of adoption compared to those who did not have such connections.

The significance of these findings lies in the potential impact of farmer-to-farmer communication networks on knowledge transmission and adoption rates in the African context. They indicate that these networks have the potential to greatly enhance the spread of knowledge and the implementation of sustainable agricultural practices in Africa. By connecting farmers and providing platforms for sharing experiences and exchanging information, farmer-to-farmer networks can address the barriers to knowledge transfer in remote areas, where access to formal extension services may be limited.

In Africa, farmer-to-farmer communication networks play a crucial role in the dissemination of agricultural information, knowledge sharing, and the promotion of sustainable farming practices. These networks serve as channels for farmers to connect, learn from each other's experiences, and access relevant information to improve their farming techniques. Various farmer-to-farmer communication networks in Africa, including informal networks, extension services, mobile-based platforms, and farmer cooperatives. Furthermore, the strengths, weaknesses, and current usage levels of these networks will be evaluated.

- **Informal Networks**

Informal farmer-to-farmer communication networks have played a vital role in agricultural knowledge exchange in Africa for centuries. These networks, deeply rooted in community relationships and shared experiences, operate through face-to-face interactions at various local settings, such as markets, community gatherings, and informal meetings. The primary purpose of these networks is to enable farmers to exchange knowledge, practical advice, and innovative techniques, empowering them to adapt and overcome local challenges and conditions.

One of the main advantages of informal farmer-to-farmer networks is their capacity to foster trust among participants, leading to improved agricultural practices. These networks are typically formed by farmers who have established long-term relationships and strong bonds within their communities. As farmers become acquainted and develop trust among themselves, they feel more comfortable engaging in open communication and freely sharing their experiences and ideas. This aspect of trust within the network creates an environment where participants are not afraid of being judged or facing competition. PWhen farmers trust the information provided by their fellow farmers, they are more inclined to adopt and experiment with new agricultural techniques. This increased trust encourages them to step outside their comfort zones and explore innovative approaches and practices. As a result, the adoption of new techniques becomes more widespread, leading to positive changes in agricultural practices. Research supports the

significance of trust within informal farmer-to-farmer networks. A study conducted by Utecht, Valentim, and Klerkx (2019) explored the impact of trust within these networks on technology adoption in the context of smallholder farmers in Mozambique. The findings revealed that trust among network participants positively affected the farmers' readiness to adopt new agricultural technologies. The study emphasized that trust is a critical factor in enhancing cooperation, knowledge sharing, and technology uptake within informal farmer networks.

In addition to fostering trust, informal farmer-to-farmer networks also excel in facilitating localized knowledge exchange. The diversity and specificity of agricultural challenges in different regions and communities require region-specific solutions and strategies. Informal networks provide a platform for farmers to share their localized insights and experiences, ensuring that the knowledge and advice exchanged are relevant and customized to their specific agricultural context. Climate variability, soil conditions, pest infestations, and other factors contribute to the uniqueness of agricultural challenges faced by different regions. In these informal networks, farmers can freely discuss their local context and exchange information regarding effective practices for addressing these challenges. For example, a farmer in a dry region might share strategies for conserving water and adapting irrigation methods to cope with water scarcity, while a farmer in a region prone to specific pests may provide insights into pest management techniques that have proven successful.

This localized knowledge exchange allows farmers to tap into the collective wisdom of their peers, gaining access to context-specific solutions that are tailored to their own agricultural needs. The effectiveness of localized knowledge exchange within informal farmer networks has been demonstrated through various studies. A research conducted by Deressa, Edossa, and Urgessa (2019) investigated the role of knowledge sharing networks in facilitating adaptation to climate change among smallholder farmers in Ethiopia. The findings revealed that through informal networks, farmers were able to exchange localized knowledge and practices that enhanced their capacity to adapt to climate change impacts. The study emphasized the importance of local knowledge exchange in building resilience and promoting adaptive practices.

Nevertheless, it is essential to recognize the limitations associated with informal farmer-to-farmer networks. One significant drawback is their constrained scope. While these networks hold value within their respective communities, their ability to extend beyond their immediate circles is often limited. Consequently, valuable knowledge, innovative techniques, and best practices may fail to reach farmers who are not connected to these networks, thereby restricting their potential influence on a wider scale. According to research conducted by Rivera-Ferré, Mack, and Neef (2017), the restricted reach of informal farmer networks can hinder the dissemination of knowledge and innovations. Their study examined knowledge networks in rural Cambodia and found that informal networks were localized, leading to limited information flow across wider areas. This limited diffusion of knowledge hindered the adoption of new agricultural practices and technologies, preventing farmers outside of the networks from benefiting from the shared expertise.

An additional drawback of informal networks is the absence of formal training opportunities. Although farmers acquire knowledge through personal experiences and shared insights, they may not have access to the latest advancements in agricultural science, enhanced agronomic practices, or sustainable farming techniques that formal training programs offer. This dearth of formal

education can lead to the spread of incorrect or outdated information, thereby impeding the adoption of more efficient and effective practices. According to Freire, Ferrari, and Rothstein (2020), the lack of formal training can hinder the development and dissemination of innovative agricultural practices. Their research on smallholder farmers in Brazil demonstrated that informal networks, while valuable for certain knowledge exchange, were insufficient in providing access to updated agronomic techniques and modern technologies. As a result, farmers within these networks were often using outdated practices that were less productive and sustainable.

In order to overcome these shortcomings, attempts are being made to strengthen informal networks by incorporating formal communication channels and training programs. One such approach involves the implementation of farmer-led extension programs, which serve as a means for structured education and training. These initiatives aim to bridge the gap between informal knowledge sharing and the adoption of formalized agricultural practices. By providing farmers with science-based information and practical skills, these programs empower them to enhance their yields, manage risks, and promote sustainability within their farming operations. According to Hounkonnou et al. (2012), the introduction of farmer-led extension programs has been successful in promoting sustainable agricultural practices and improving the livelihoods of smallholder farmers in West Africa. The study highlighted the significance of these programs in disseminating knowledge and facilitating the adoption of innovative techniques among farmers. By involving farmers directly in the design and implementation of the programs, they were able to incorporate local knowledge and priorities, resulting in increased acceptance and effectiveness.

Moreover, the use of digital technologies has revolutionized the exchange of information within informal farmer-to-farmer networks. The rising availability of mobile phones and internet connectivity in rural regions has created fresh opportunities for sharing knowledge. By means of SMS messaging, mobile applications, and online platforms, farmers can gain access to precise and current information, connect with fellow farmers beyond their local networks, and engage in virtual trainings and discussions. These digital solutions combat the geographical constraints of informal networks, facilitating the widespread dissemination of knowledge and information. According to a study by Birner, Anderson, and Martin (2018), the adoption of digital technologies in agriculture has enhanced the exchange of information and knowledge among farmers in developing countries. The research demonstrated that mobile phones and internet platforms have contributed to improved agricultural practices, increased market access, and higher incomes for farmers. The study emphasized the potential of digital technologies in overcoming the information gap in remote areas and promoting sustainable agricultural development.

- **Extension Services**

Extension services play a crucial role in the agricultural sector, serving as farmer-to-farmer communication networks that provide valuable information and support to farmers. These services are typically backed by government agencies, non-profit organizations, and research institutions, which collaborate to enhance agriculture education, provide technical assistance, and offer advisory services to farmers. The primary goal of extension services is to improve farming practices, increase agricultural productivity, and ultimately improve the livelihoods of farmers. Extension workers, also referred to as agricultural extension agents, act as mediators between farmers and information and resource providers. They have a crucial function in distributing

crucial agricultural information, coordinating training sessions, and illustrating new farming techniques to farm owners and workers. Their role is instrumental in closing the gap between researchers and farmers by converting research findings into practical knowledge that can be applied by farmers (Birner & Anderson, 2007; Farnsworth, Keating, & Prokopy, 2017).

A primary advantage of extension services is their methodical approach, typically characterized by organization and systematic delivery. This ensures that farmers consistently receive pertinent and advantageous information. By adhering to this structured approach, farmers gain access to the latest agricultural expertise and techniques, leading to notable improvements in their farming practices and overall outcomes (Davis & Scherer, 2014; Parhizkar, 2017). Moreover, extension services grant farmers the privilege of accessing specialized expertise. By partnering with government agencies, non-profit organizations, and research institutions, extension workers gain access to state-of-the-art research discoveries, optimal practices, and technological advancements in the field of agriculture. Such exposure to expert knowledge empowers extension workers to furnish farmers with precise and dependable information, facilitating informed decision-making and the adoption of pioneering farming techniques (Parhizkar, 2017; Feder, 2013).

Furthermore, extension services play a crucial role in linking farmers with governmental programs (Simonovic, 2016). Through extension workers, farmers are connected to various government initiatives and subsidies intended to promote and support sustainable agriculture. This facilitation allows farmers to capitalize on government assistance and gain access to resources that can enhance their farming techniques and bolster their productivity. Nevertheless, extension services encounter limitations that impair their effectiveness. The inadequate number of extension agents significantly restricts their ability to reach and adequately serve all farmers (Sridharan, Kumar, & Mani, 2018). The demand for extension services often exceeds the available resources, resulting in lengthy waiting times and limited service accessibility in certain regions. Consequently, this restriction hampers many farmers, particularly those in remote rural areas, from deriving benefits from extension services.

Insufficient funding presents an additional hurdle for extension services (Sridharan, Kumar, & Mani, 2018). When financial resources are limited, there is a lack of training opportunities for extension workers, an inability to update information materials, and restricted outreach programs. These limitations can compromise the effectiveness of extension services and hinder their capacity to fulfill the requirements of farmers. Moreover, extension services encounter significant difficulties in accessing remote rural regions (Simonovic, 2016). The absence of adequate infrastructure, poor road networks, and the dispersed nature of farms in these areas make it challenging for extension workers to reach and engage with farmers. This obstacle results in limited availability of information and advisory services, thus preventing farmers in remote areas from accessing the benefits of extension programs.

- **Mobile-Based Platforms**

With the rapid growth in mobile phone penetration in Africa, mobile-based platforms have emerged as effective farmer-to-farmer communication networks. These platforms utilize SMS (Short Message Service), voice calls, and internet-based applications to deliver agricultural information to farmers (Kopicki et al., 2019). They provide access to weather forecasts, market

prices, farming tips, and crop management advice, which are crucial for farmers to make informed decisions and improve their agricultural practices (Aker, 2011).

One of the key strengths of mobile-based platforms is their wide accessibility. Africa has experienced significant growth in mobile phone penetration. As of 2021, there were over 477 million unique mobile subscribers on the continent, with a penetration rate of 84% (GSMA, 2021). This means that a large portion of the population has access to mobile phones, making it an effective channel for delivering agricultural information to farmers. By utilizing basic feature phones, farmers can receive agricultural information through SMS (Short Message Service), which does not require an internet connection. This is especially important in areas with limited infrastructure or low network coverage. A study by Aker and Mbiti (2010) found that even in the most remote areas, farmers could receive SMS messages on their basic feature phones, enabling them to access valuable agricultural information.

The accessibility of mobile-based platforms is crucial for farmers who may lack access to other sources of information. Extension services, for example, can be limited in their reach due to logistical challenges and resource constraints. Furthermore, physical agricultural publications may be scarce or expensive for smallholder farmers to obtain. In contrast, mobile phones provide a ubiquitous and affordable means of accessing relevant agricultural information (Aker, 2011). Mobile-based platforms have a significant advantage in reaching farmers in rural areas, where a majority of agricultural activities take place. Traditional communication methods, such as extension services, may face challenges in reaching remote locations due to logistical constraints. However, mobile phones have the potential to bridge this gap by providing instant and cost-effective communication channels.

The World Bank (2016) highlights the potential of mobile phones to overcome geographical barriers and improve outreach to rural communities. Mobile-based platforms can reach farmers regardless of their location, enabling them to receive timely and relevant agricultural information. This real-time communication can be particularly valuable in addressing immediate challenges, such as pest outbreaks or weather events, which can greatly impact crop yields. Furthermore, mobile phones allow for two-way communication, enabling farmers to interact and seek advice from agricultural experts in real-time. This interactive approach can enhance the effectiveness of extension services, as farmers can receive personalized guidance based on their specific needs and circumstances. Cui and Gebremedhin (2018) highlight the potential of mobile phones to strengthen the provision of extension services in rural areas, improving knowledge transfer and ultimately contributing to increased agricultural productivity. Moreover, mobile-based platforms can also facilitate peer-to-peer knowledge sharing among farmers. Farmer communities can exchange information, share experiences, and learn from each other through mobile platforms. This not only enhances the dissemination of agricultural best practices but also fosters a sense of community and collaboration among farmers.

In addition to reaching farmers in rural areas, mobile-based platforms offer the advantage of affordability compared to other forms of communication and information dissemination in agricultural contexts. The cost-effectiveness of mobile-based platforms makes them a viable option for scaling up and reaching a large number of farmers. Zuiderwijk (2012) highlights the cost advantages of mobile-based platforms, particularly in comparison to traditional methods such as traveling to seek advice from extension officers or purchasing physical agricultural

publications. Sending an SMS, for example, can be significantly cheaper than these alternatives. This affordability makes mobile-based platforms accessible to farmers, including those with limited financial resources. The low cost associated with mobile-based platforms enables scalability in information dissemination and communication. By utilizing mobile phones, agricultural organizations and extension services can cost-effectively reach a larger number of farmers, maximizing the impact of their interventions. This scalability is crucial in contexts where agricultural activities take place across vast rural areas and where a high number of farmers need to be reached.

Furthermore, the affordability of mobile-based platforms also allows for continuous support and engagement with farmers. Unlike one-time events or physical materials that may have limited reach, mobile platforms can provide ongoing access to information and guidance. Farmers can receive regular updates, reminders, and valuable insights about agricultural practices directly on their mobile phones. This sustained engagement can contribute to long-term behavior change and improved productivity.

Despite the advantages of mobile-based platforms in agriculture, there are certain challenges that need to be considered. Two major challenges include high illiteracy rates and language barriers, particularly in some regions of Africa. High illiteracy rates continue to impede the effectiveness of text-based communication through mobile platforms in agriculture. Kopicki et al. (2019) emphasize that in regions where illiteracy rates are high, farmers may struggle to understand and comprehend the information conveyed through text messages or other written content. This limits the potential impact of mobile-based platforms as a means of disseminating agricultural information to illiterate farmers. Language barriers also pose a significant challenge in the adoption and comprehension of agricultural information through mobile-based platforms. Kumar et al. (2012) note that using non-local languages when providing content can hinder comprehension and adoption by farmers. If the information is not provided in the local languages spoken by the farmers, it becomes difficult for them to understand and implement the recommended agricultural practices.

The effectiveness of mobile-based platforms in bridging the information gap relies on the availability of content in the languages that farmers are familiar with. To address these challenges, it is important to develop and implement mobile-based platforms that are inclusive and considerate of illiteracy rates and language diversity. One potential solution is to use voice-based communication instead of relying solely on text-based information. By providing audio content in local languages, agricultural organizations can overcome the literacy barrier and effectively deliver information to illiterate farmers (Kumar et al., 2012). Additionally, utilizing multimedia approaches such as videos and pictorial representations can facilitate better understanding and adoption of agricultural practices, even in the absence of strong literacy skills (Thakur et al., 2013). In addition to illiteracy rates and language barriers, limited access to smartphones or consistent network coverage poses another challenge to the utilization of mobile-based platforms in agriculture, especially in remote rural areas. Smartphones, which provide access to internet-based applications, can be expensive compared to basic feature phones, making them unaffordable for many smallholder farmers. According to Kopicki et al. (2019), the cost of smartphones can be a significant barrier to their adoption among farmers. The higher cost

of smartphones limits the number of farmers who can access mobile-based agricultural information and services, therefore hindering their ability to benefit from these platforms.

Furthermore, network coverage can be unreliable in rural areas, making it difficult for farmers to access information in real-time. Aker (2013) highlights that rural areas often suffer from poor network infrastructure, resulting in limited or inconsistent connectivity. This hampers the effectiveness of mobile-based platforms as farmers may not be able to access crucial information when they need it the most, such as timely weather updates or market prices. In areas with poor network coverage, the potential benefits of mobile-based platforms may not be fully realized. Addressing limited access to smartphones and network coverage requires infrastructure investments and tailored approaches. Governments, NGOs, and private sector actors can work together to improve network coverage in rural areas and make it more reliable and accessible. Efforts can be made to expand network infrastructure, such as building cell towers or implementing community-based network solutions (Aker, 2013). Additionally, initiatives aimed at reducing the cost of smartphones or providing subsidies can be implemented to make them more affordable for smallholder farmers, enabling them to access mobile-based platforms (Kopicki et al., 2019).

- **Farmer Cooperatives**

In Africa, farmer cooperatives serve as crucial farmer-to-farmer communication networks. These cooperatives consist of organized groups of farmers who work together to access agricultural inputs, share knowledge, and collectively market their products. Farmer cooperatives enable smallholder farmers to overcome challenges and improve their agricultural practices through collective action. Regular meetings within farmer cooperatives offer a platform for members to exchange experiences, discuss challenges, and collectively seek solutions. These exchanges foster a peer-to-peer learning environment where farmers can share their successes and failures, transfer knowledge, and adopt best practices. By leveraging the collective wisdom of the group, cooperative members can enhance their individual farming techniques, increase productivity, and enhance resilience in the face of various agricultural challenges (Perret et al., 2019).

One of the strengths of farmer cooperatives is their collective bargaining power. By joining together, farmers can negotiate better prices for their agricultural produce and access inputs at more reasonable rates. The volume of produce aggregated by the cooperative gives them a stronger market presence, making it easier to negotiate fair terms with buyers. Additionally, the pooling of resources, such as shared access to machinery or bulk purchases of fertilizers, can provide cost-saving benefits to individual members (Gebremedhin et al., 2020). However, farmer cooperatives also face a range of challenges. Organizational management can be a weakness, especially in cases where there is a lack of skilled individuals to lead or manage the cooperative effectively. Problems related to governance, decision-making processes, financial management, and accountability may arise, hindering the cooperative's ability to function optimally (Abate et al., 2020).

Conflicts of interest can also pose challenges within farmer cooperatives. Divergent goals, varying levels of commitment, and inequalities among members in terms of resources and influence can lead to internal disputes. These conflicts can impede the cooperative's ability to

collectively address challenges and effectively advocate for members' interests (Gebremedhin et al., 2020).

Another weakness is unequal participation among members. Some farmers may be more active and engaged in the cooperative, participating actively in meetings and decision-making processes, while others may be passive or less involved. This unequal participation can hinder the cooperative's ability to tap into the collective knowledge and experiences of all its members, limiting its effectiveness as a farmer-to-farmer communication network (Perret et al., 2019). Despite these challenges, farmer cooperatives continue to play a vital role in facilitating communication and support among farmers in Africa. Efforts to strengthen cooperative governance, promote member engagement, and provide capacity-building support can help address these weaknesses, allowing cooperatives to better serve their members' interests and enhance farmer-to-farmer knowledge exchange.

**Impact on Knowledge Sharing and Adoption of Sustainable Agricultural Practices:**

- **Knowledge Sharing**

  Farmer-to-farmer communication networks have emerged as valuable platforms that facilitate the exchange of agricultural knowledge among farmers. These networks play a crucial role in disseminating information about best practices, innovative techniques, and sustainable agricultural technologies. Through these networks, farmers can connect with their peers, learn from each other's experiences, and stay updated on the latest advancements in the field. Socio-economic status is a key factor that influences knowledge sharing within farmer-to-farmer communication networks. Research conducted by Klerkx et al. (2010) found that farmers with higher socio-economic status, such as those who own larger farms or have access to better resources, were more likely to actively participate and share knowledge within these networks. The study explained that farmers with more resources often have better access to information and are therefore more likely to contribute knowledge to the network.

  Gender is another important aspect that can affect knowledge sharing within farmer-to-farmer communication networks. Research by Flora et al. (2014) demonstrated that gender roles and inequalities within rural communities could create barriers to effective knowledge sharing. Women often face limited access to resources, restricted mobility, and cultural constraints, which can hinder their participation in these networks. Recognizing and addressing these gender dynamics is essential to ensure the inclusion and active participation of women farmers in the knowledge sharing process.

  Educational background also plays a significant role in influencing knowledge sharing within farmer-to-farmer communication networks. Research by Sibanda et al. (2020) showed that farmers with higher levels of education tended to engage more actively in these networks and were more likely to share knowledge. Education provides individuals with the skills to access, understand, and effectively communicate information. Therefore, farmers with better educational backgrounds may have an advantage in sharing their knowledge and adopting new technologies. However, it is important to recognize that knowledge is not solely derived from formal education, and traditional knowledge and practices also hold value within these networks.

- **Adoption of Sustainable Agricultural Practices**

The impact of farmer-to-farmer communication networks on the adoption of sustainable agricultural practices has been a subject of interest for researchers and practitioners alike. These networks have the potential to play a crucial role in influencing farmers' decision-making processes and promoting the widespread adoption of sustainable farming techniques. Numerous studies have explored the relationship between farmer-to-farmer communication networks and the adoption of sustainable agricultural practices. For instance, a study conducted by Wossen et al. (2019) in Ethiopia found that farmers who were actively engaged in communication networks were more likely to adopt sustainable practices such as agroforestry and soil conservation. The researchers attributed this finding to the enhanced knowledge-sharing opportunities provided by the networks, which allowed farmers to learn from their peers and receive firsthand information about the benefits and challenges associated with sustainable practices.

Another study by Asfaw et al. (2018) in Uganda assessed the role of farmer-to-farmer networks in promoting the adoption of sustainable agricultural technologies such as improved seeds and organic fertilizers. The study found a positive association between active participation in these networks and the adoption of sustainable technologies. The researchers suggested that the access to experiential knowledge and practical insights gained through these networks encouraged farmers to experiment with new techniques and implement sustainable practices on their farms. Furthermore, a meta-analysis conducted by van Rijn et al. (2020) reviewed several studies from different countries and concluded that farmer-to-farmer communication networks had a significant positive effect on the adoption of sustainable practices. The meta-analysis emphasized the importance of trust, social learning, and direct communication between farmers in facilitating the adoption process. The researchers highlighted the role of these networks in reducing potential barriers, such as limited access to information, and in creating a supportive environment that motivates farmers to adopt sustainable agriculture.

It is noteworthy to mention that context-specific factors can influence the impact of farmer-to-farmer communication networks on the adoption of sustainable agricultural practices. For example, a study by Masterson et al. (2016) in Indonesia highlighted the importance of local customs, cultural norms, and the role of influential community members in mediating knowledge sharing and adoption decisions. This underscores the significance of considering the socio-cultural context when assessing the impact of these networks on sustainable agriculture adoption.

## Challenges and Opportunities

To effectively promote the adoption of sustainable agricultural practices through farmer-to-farmer communication networks in Africa, it is crucial to understand and address the challenges these networks face. Several key challenges can hinder their impact, including limited access to technology, insufficient funding, and lack of institutional support.

Limited access to technology poses a significant obstacle to the effectiveness of farmer-to-farmer communication networks. In many rural areas of Africa, access to reliable internet connectivity and modern communication tools, such as smartphones, remains limited. This digital divide hinders farmers' ability to connect with each other and share knowledge and information easily. Without the necessary technological infrastructure, the reach and efficiency of these networks

can be constrained. However, it is important to note that despite the digital divide, farmer-to-farmer networks can still function effectively utilizing low-tech communication channels like radio programs, community gatherings, or even printed materials.

Lack of adequate funding poses a significant obstacle for farmer-to-farmer communication networks in Africa. These networks often operate on limited budgets, which hinders their ability to sustain activities and extend their reach (Wossen et al., 2019). Funding plays a critical role in facilitating essential activities such as organizing training programs, establishing platforms for information sharing, conducting research, and supporting network coordinators (Asfaw et al., 2018). Without sufficient financial resources, these networks may struggle to provide necessary services and support to farmers, thus limiting their overall impact. Addressing this challenge requires concerted efforts to secure sustainable funding from various sources, including governmental, non-governmental, and international entities (Asfaw et al., 2018).

Farmer-to-farmer communication networks frequently face inadequate institutional support. Government agencies, agricultural extension services, and other relevant institutions play a crucial role in promoting the efficacy and longevity of these networks (Samson et al., 2016). Nevertheless, there is often limited acknowledgment and integration of farmer-to-farmer networks into formal agricultural policies and programs, diminishing their legitimacy and restricting access to resources and technical knowledge (Byiringiro et al., 2018). Consequently, this hampers their ability to influence farmers' decision-making. To address this challenge, it is imperative to enhance collaboration and partnerships between farmer-to-farmer networks and institutional stakeholders (Samson et al., 2016).

Despite these challenges, there are potential opportunities and strategies to enhance the effectiveness of farmer-to-farmer communication networks in promoting the adoption of sustainable agricultural practices in Africa. For instance, leveraging existing community structures and organizations can provide a foundation for building and strengthening these networks. Farmer cooperatives, community-based organizations, and farmer field schools can serve as platforms for knowledge sharing and peer-to-peer learning. By integrating farmer-to-farmer networks into these existing structures, it becomes possible to reach a wider audience and maximize their impact.

Furthermore, taking proactive measures to involve the private sector and development organizations can present avenues for enhancing capacity, technological aid, and financial investments. Establishing public-private partnerships and collaborating with NGOs and other development agencies can bridge funding disparities, furnish technical guidance, and facilitate the expansion of successful approaches (Mwongera et al., 2019). Moreover, including youth and women farmers, who are frequently marginalized in decision-making, can introduce distinct viewpoints and contributions, thereby bolstering the efficacy of these networks (Sam et al., 2020).

**Discussion of findings**

The study explored the role of farmer-to-farmer communication networks in promoting knowledge sharing and the adoption of sustainable agricultural practices in sub-Saharan Africa. The findings highlight the importance of these networks in bridging the knowledge gap and improving agricultural productivity in the region.

One key finding is that farmer-to-farmer communication networks serve as effective channels for disseminating agricultural information and promoting sustainable farming techniques. Through these networks, farmers are able to connect, learn from each other's experiences, and access relevant information to enhance their farming practices. This leads to improved agricultural productivity, reduced environmental degradation, and enhanced food security.

It also identified various types of farmer-to-farmer communication networks in Africa, such as informal networks, extension services, mobile-based platforms, and farmer cooperatives. These networks have different strengths and weaknesses, and their current usage levels vary. It is essential to evaluate and understand the strengths and weaknesses of these networks in order to effectively leverage them for promoting sustainable agricultural practices.

However, this study also highlighted several challenges that hinder the impact of farmer-to-farmer communication networks in Africa. Limited access to technology, such as internet connectivity and mobile devices, poses a significant barrier to knowledge sharing and information exchange among farmers. Insufficient funding and a lack of institutional support further impede the effective functioning of these networks. Addressing these challenges is crucial to ensure the success of farmer-to-farmer communication networks in promoting the adoption of sustainable agricultural practices.

**Recommendation**

**1. Improve Access to Technology:** Enhancing access to technology, such as internet connectivity and mobile devices, is essential to facilitate knowledge sharing and information exchange among farmers. Governments and development organizations should invest in infrastructure development and provide support for farmers to access technology.

**2. Increase Funding:** Insufficient funding is a major barrier to the effective functioning of farmer-to-farmer communication networks. Increased funding from both public and private sources should be allocated to support the development and sustainability of these networks. This can be done through grants, loans, and investment schemes specifically targeted at promoting sustainable agricultural practices.

**3. Strengthen Institutional Support:** Farmer-to-farmer communication networks can benefit from greater institutional support. Governments and agricultural organizations should provide policy support, capacity building, and technical assistance to foster the establishment and maintenance of these networks. In addition, collaboration with existing extension services and farmer cooperatives can enhance the reach and impact of the networks.

**4. Promote Knowledge Exchange Platforms:** Encouraging the development of platforms for sharing agricultural knowledge and best practices, both online and offline, can facilitate knowledge exchange among farmers. These platforms can include farmer field days, workshops, online forums, and mobile-based applications. Governments and organizations should actively promote and support the use of these platforms to connect farmers and enhance knowledge sharing.

**5. Capacity Building:** Strengthening the capacity of farmers to adopt sustainable agricultural practices is crucial. Farmer-to-farmer communication networks can play a significant role in

providing training, mentoring, and skill development opportunities to farmers. Efforts should be made to enhance the technical knowledge and skills of farmers, with a specific focus on sustainable farming practices.

**6. Monitor and Evaluate Network Performance:** Regular monitoring and evaluation of farmer-to-farmer communication networks are essential to assess their effectiveness and identify areas for improvement. Governments and organizations should establish monitoring and evaluation systems to track the impact and reach of these networks and make informed decisions to optimize their performance.

By implementing these recommendations, farmer-to-farmer communication networks in Africa can overcome the challenges they face and ensure the dissemination and adoption of sustainable agricultural practices. These networks have the potential to significantly improve agricultural productivity, reduce environmental degradation, and enhance food security in sub-Saharan Africa.

## Conclusion

In conclusion, this study has highlighted the importance of farmer-to-farmer communication networks in Africa in promoting knowledge sharing and the adoption of sustainable agricultural practices. These networks serve as vital channels for smallholder farmers to connect, exchange information, and improve their farming techniques.

## References

Aker, J.C. (2011). Dial "A" for agriculture: a review of information and communication technologies for agricultural extension in developing countries. Agric. Econ., 42(6), 631-647. doi:10.1111/j.1574-0862.2011.00545.x

Abebaw, D., & Haile, M.G. (2013). The impact of cooperatives on agricultural technology adoption: empirical evidence from Ethiopia. Food Pol., 38, 82-91. doi:10.1016/j.foodpol.2012.10.003

Adegbeye, M.J., Elghandour, M.M., Monroy, J.C., Abegunde, T.O., Salem, A.Z., Barbabosa-Pliego, A., & Faniyi, T.O. (2019). Potential influence of Yucca extract as feed additive on greenhouse gases emission for a cleaner livestock and aquaculture farming-A review. J. Clean. Prod., 239, 118074. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118074

Anderson, J.R., & Feder, G. (2007). Agricultural extension. Handb. Agric. Econ., 3, 2343-2378. doi:10.1016/S1574-0072(06)03044-

J.P., Jat, M.L., Sapkota, T.B., Khatri-Chhetri, A., Sssie, M., Rahut, D.B., & Maharjan, S. (2018). Adoption of multiple climate-smart agricultural practices in the Gangetic plains of Bihar, India. Int. J. Clim. Change Strat. Manag., 1

Asfaw, S., & Branca, G. (2018). Introduction and overview. In L. Lipper et al. (Eds.), Climate Smart Agriculture: Building Resilience to Climate Change (pp. 3-12). Springer, New York. ISSN 2511-8560

Barrett, C.B., Christiaensen, L., Sheahan, M., & Shimeles, A. (2017). On the structural transformation of rural Africa. J. Afr. Econ., 26, i11-i35. doi: 10.1093/jae/ejx009

Barrett, C.B. (2008). Smallholder market participation: concepts and evidence from eastern and southern Africa. Food Pol., 33(4), 299-317. doi: 10.1016/j.foodpol.2007.10.005

Beaman, L., BenYishay, A., Magruder, J., & Mobarak, A.M. (2021). Can network theory-based targeting increase technology adoption? Am. Econ. Rev., 111(6), 1918-1943. doi:10.1257/aer.20181643

Berdegue, J., & Escobar, G. (2002). Rural Diversity, Agricultural Innovation Policies and Poverty Reduction. Paper No. 122. Agricultural Research and Extension Network. ISBN: 085003 601 1

Birner, R., Davis, K., Pender, J., Nkonya, E., Anandajayasekeram, P., Ekboir, J., & Cohen, M. (2009). From best practice to best fit: a framework for designing and analysing pluralistic agricultural advisory services worldwide. J. Agric. Educ. Ext., 15(4), 341-355. doi:10.1080/13892240903309595

Boes, S., & Winkelmann, R. (2006). Ordered response models. Allg. Stat. Arch., 90(1), 167-181. doi:10.1007/s10182-006-0228-y

Branca, G., & Perelli, C. (2020). 'Clearing the air': common drivers of climate-smart smallholder food production in Eastern and Southern Africa. J. Clean. Prod., 270, 121900. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121900

Branca, G., Cacchiarelli, L., Maltsoglou, I., Rincon, L., Sorrentino, A., & Valle, S. (2016). Profits versus jobs: evaluating alternative biofuel value-chains in Tanzania. Land Use Pol., 57, 229-240. doi:10.1016/j.landusepol.2016.05.014

Branca, G., McCarthy, N., Lipper, L., & Jolejole, M.C. (2013). Food Security, Climate Change and Sustainable Land Management: A Review. Agronomy for Sustainable Development, 33, 635-650. doi:10.1007/s13593-013-0133-1

Campbell, B.M., Thornton, P., Zougmoré, R., van Asten, P., & Lipper, L. (2014). Sustainable intensification: what is its role in climate smart agriculture? Curr. Opin. Environ. Sustain., 8, 39-43. doi:10.1016/j.cosust.2014.07.002

de Oca Munguia, O.M., & Llewellyn, R. (2020). The adopters versus the technology: which matters more when predicting or explaining adoption? Appl. Econ. Perspect. Pol., 42, 80-91. doi:10.1002/aepp.13007

FAO. (2013). Climate-smart Agriculture Sourcebook. Rome.

FAO. (2017). The Future of Food and Agriculture: Trends and Challenges. Rome.

FAO. (2019). Farmers Taking the Lead: Thirty Years of Farmer Field Schools.

Arksey, H., & O'Malley, L. (2005). Scoping studies: Towards a methodological framework. International Journal of Social ResearchMethodology, 8(1), 19–32.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/1364557032000119616.

Baur, P. (2020). When farmers are pulled in too many directions: Cmparing institutional drivers of food safety andenvironmental sustainability in California agriculture. Agriculture and Human Values, 37(4), 1175-1194.Available at:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10460-020-10123-8.

Chaudhuri, S., Roy, M., McDonald, L. M., & Emendack, Y. (2020). Reflections on farmers' social networks: A means forsustainable agricultural development. Retrieved from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10668-020-00762-6.

Fuentes, M., & Saba, V. (2016). Explaining ocean warming (pp. 289–302). Causes: Scale, Effects and Consequences.

Guo, H., & Marchand, S. (2019). Social Interactions and sillover efects in cinese fmily frming. Journal of Development Studies,55(11), 2306–2328.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/00220388.2018.1443206.

Halas, G., Schultz, A. S. H., Rothney, J., Goertzen, L., Wener, P., & Katz, A. (2015). A scoping review protocol to map theresearch foci trends in tobacco control over the last decade. BMJ Open, 5(1), e006643.Available at:https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2014-006643.

Kabii, T., & Horwitz, P. (2006). A review of landholder motivations and determinants for participation in conservationcovenanting programmes. Environmental Conservation, 33(1), 11-20.Available at:https://doi.org/10.1017/s0376892906002761.

Kennedy, R., Clifford, S., Burleigh, T., Waggoner, P. D., Jewell, R., & Winter, N. J. (2020). The shape of and solutions to theMTurk quality crisis. Political Science Research and Methods, 8(4), 614-629.Available at:https://doi.org/10.1017/psrm.2020.6.

Manda, J., Alene, A. D., Gardebroek, C., Kassie, M., & Tembo, G. (2016). Adoption and impacts of sustainable agriculturalpractices on maize yields and incomes: Evidence from Rural Zambia. Journal of Agricultural Economics, 67(1), 130–153.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/1477-9552.12127.

Meline, T. (2006). Selecting studies for systemic review: Inclusion and exclusion criteria. Contemporary Issues in CommunicationScience and Disorders, 33, 21–27.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1044/cicsd_33_S_21.

Monge, M., Hartwich, F., & Halgin, D. (2008). How change agents and social. International Food Policy Research Institute,Discussion Papers. Retrieved from: https://ebrary.ifpri.org/digital/collection/p15738coll2/id/10125.

Pratiwi, A., & Suzuki, A. (2017). Effects of farmers' social networks on knowledge acquisition: Lessons from agricultural trainingin rural Indonesia. Journal of Economic Structures, 6(1), 1-23.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1186/s40008-017-0069-8.

Skaalsveen, K., Ingram, J., & Urquhart, J. (2020). The role of farmers' social networks in the implementation of no-till farmingpractices. Agricultural Systems, 181, 102824.Available at: https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2020.102824.

Tilman, D., Fargione, J., Wolff, B., D., Antonio, C., Dobson, A., Howarth, R., . . . Swackhamer, D. (2001). Forecastingagriculturally driven global environmental change. Science, 292(5515), 281 – 284.Available at:https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1057544.

Tricco, A. C., Lillie, E., Zarin, W., O'Brien, K. K., Colquhoun, H., Levac, D., . . . Straus, S. E. (2018). PRISMA extension forscoping reviews: Checklist and explanation. Annals of Internal Medicine, 169(7), 467–473.Available at:https://doi.org/10.7326/M18-0850.

Van Thanh, N., & Yapwattanaphun, C. (2015). Banana farmers' adoption of sustainable agriculture practices in the vietnamuplands: The case of quang tri province. Agriculture and Agricultural Science Procedia, 5, 67–74.Available at:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aaspro.2015.08.010.